

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
11/04/2003	Charles J. Zapiec	AEIPT01D1	5562
7590 02/04/2005		EXAM	INER
1EREDITH		RUDY, AN	NDREW J
KEYHANI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
81 LINWOOD AVE BUFFALO, NY 14209		3627	
	11/04/2003 590 02/04/2005 MEREDITH 2 KEYHANI 4 AVE	11/04/2003 Charles J. Zapiec 590 02/04/2005 MEREDITH 2 KEYHANI AVE	11/04/2003 Charles J. Zapiec AEIPT01D1 590 02/04/2005 EXAM MEREDITH RUDY, AN 2 KEYHANI AVE ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,056	ZAPIEC ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory portion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	—· s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrate 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.		, , ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 Rotice of Drainsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/701,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedell et al., US 6,622,128.
- 3. Bedell discloses monitoring Internet activity performed by a user client at a terminal comprising a client identifier, e.g. Fig. 3. Bedell does not specifically disclose recording the completion time of the Internet activity by a client matter identifier and a client identifier on behalf of a client and generating a bill and a report based, in part, on a session record.

Official Notice is taken that recording a start/finish time of an Internet activity by a user on behalf of a client and generating a bill and a report based at least, in part, on a session record was well known and common knowledge in the computer usage consulting art far predating Applicant's filing date.

For example, hiring out a private patent searcher to use a computer database, e.g. an Automated Patent System (APS) provided by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) or an Internet web site, e.g. Google or Yahoo, encompasses in scope and content Applicant's inventive idea.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,056 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Using APS to log on/off times of usage while recording search terms used and documents retrieved while providing a searcher tools to create a search report for a client, e.g. a private entity, a corporation or law firm, having both a client matter identifier and a client identifier, was well known and common knowledge in the computer usage consulting art far predating Applicant's filing date.

To have provided recording the start/finish time of Internet activity by a user on behalf of a client and generate a bill and a report based at least, in part, on a session record for Bedell would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for doing such would have been to have provided common knowledge report and billing data presented by a user on behalf of a client identifier and client matter identifier as such was common knowledge in the computer usage and consulting art.

4. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement received November 4, 2003 is noted. However, it is not in proper form. Nonetheless, to avoid needless delay, all the documents cited are included on the aforementioned PTO-892.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627